International Journal of Advanced Education
www.allpaperjournal.com
ISSN: 3107-9601
Received: 10-10-2026, Accepted: 09-11-2026, Published: 24-11-2026
Volume 2, Issue 4, 2026, Page No. 8-9

Physics and philosophy: Epistemic convergences in the exploration of reality

Ioana Stanciu*
Department of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Elisabeta Blvd, Bucharest, Romania
DOI: https://doi.org/10.66856/ijae.2026.2.4.2037

Abstract
This article investigates the conceptual interfaces between physics and philosophy, highlighting how fundamental issues of knowledge, ontology, and methodology are mutually influenced by the two disciplines. Issues regarding the nature of physical reality, the status of the observer, temporality, determinism, emergence, and the status of consciousness are addressed. The analysis is supported by the integration of contemporary theories from quantum physics, cosmology, and the philosophy of science.

Keywords: Physics, philosophy, reality, exploration

Introduction

The relationship between physics and philosophy is historical and structural. The first questions about the nature of motion, space, and matter appear in pre-Socratic philosophy, and modern physics, from Galileo to Einstein, has evolved through a continuous reassessment of fundamental philosophical concepts.

Currently, the dialogue between the two fields is not only opportune, but necessary: the discoveries of 20th and 21st century physics are so profound that they often go beyond the traditional conceptual frameworks of philosophy, while the formulation of physical theories requires logical, conceptual, and epistemological clarity of philosophical inspiration.

Realism, anti-realism, and the ontological status of the physical world

1. The observer problem in quantum mechanics

The interpretation of quantum mechanics constitutes a classic terrain of interaction between physics and philosophy. Mathematical formalism correctly predicts experimental phenomena, but ontological questions remain controversial:

- Is the wave function a physical object or an epistemic tool?
- Is collapse a real process or just an informational update?

The Copenhagen interpretation privileges a moderate antirealism, while many-worlds theories (Everett) reject collapse, supporting an extreme realism.

The problem is deeply philosophical, as it involves the nature of existence, the role of measurement and the limits of physical description.

2. Ontology of fields and the discrepancy between mathematics and reality

Modern physics describes the world through quantum fields, continuous mathematical entities, which, however, manifest themselves discretely energetically. This conceptual paradox touches on questions about: the abstract nature of fields, the status of mathematics as an ontological language, the possibility of a relational ontology (Rovelli).

Time between physics and metaphysics

1. Relativity and the space-time block

General relativity introduces the model of the block universe, in which the past, present and future form a unitary geometric entity. This conception erodes the traditional philosophical idea of an objective present.

Related philosophical issues

- If time does not flow, how do we explain the experience of temporality?
- What is the status of causality in a geometrically fixed structure?
- Is change a fundamental or emergent phenomenon?
- Phenomenological (Husserl) and metaphysical (McTaggart) approaches meet here the conclusions of modern physics.

2. The arrow of time and entropy

Although the fundamental laws of physics are (mostly) temporally symmetric, our experience indicates a privileged direction of time. This asymmetry is explained by the increase in entropy (the second law of thermodynamics).

- The problem is more subtle:
- Why did the universe begin in a state of extremely low entropy?
- Is the arrow of time an emergent phenomenon, dependent on observation and coarse-graining?
- Here, philosophies of time and theories about the origin of the universe become inseparable.

Determinism, indeterminism and freedom

1. Classical Determinism and Prediction

The Newtonian model of the universe is strictly deterministic, although epistemic limitations make prediction incomplete. This distinction between ontological determinism and epistemic limitation is central to the philosophy of science.

2. Quantum Indeterminism

In quantum mechanics, indeterminism seems fundamental: decay processes, energy transitions, and collapse are described probabilistically.

Ontologically, two questions arise

- Is quantum indeterminism fundamental or just a limitation of the current theory?
- Can quantum indeterminism constitute the metaphysical support of free will?

Most philosophers argue that human freedom cannot be supported solely on the basis of random fluctuations, suggesting an emergentist model of will.

Emergence, Complexity, and Reductionism

1. Physicalist reductionism

Traditionally, physicalism claims that all phenomena are reducible to fundamental physics. However, complex systems raise serious questions regarding: theoretical indeterminacy, sensitivity to initial conditions, emergence of emergent properties.

2. Weak emergence vs. strong emergence

In the philosophy of science, weak emergence describes phenomena that are explainable in principle by fundamental laws, but computationally impractical. Strong emergence suggests the emergence of irreducible ontological properties.

In the physical context: consciousness, life, the collective behavior of matter, become essential case studies for this debate.

Consciousness and physics: a common frontier

Consciousness is perhaps the most difficult interdisciplinary problem. Neurophysiological models try to link subjective experience to neuronal dynamics, but the ontological question remains:

Is consciousness

- an epiphenomenon of brain activity?
- an emergent property?
- a fundamental element of the universe (panpsychism, Russellian monism)?

In parallel, some interpretations of quantum mechanics (Wigner) have suggested a role for the conscious observer in the collapse of the wave function, although these ideas are controversial in contemporary academia

Cosmology, meaning and teleology

Physical constants seem to be extremely fine-grained for the emergence of complex structures and life. This observation leads to: anthropic principles, multiverse scenarios, philosophical discussions on natural teleology.

Physics cannot directly answer questions about the meaning of the universe, but it provides the necessary context for a scientifically informed philosophy.

Conclusions

The interaction between physics and philosophy is not an additional exercise, but a structural condition for the conceptual progress of both disciplines. Physics provides data and theories that restructure traditional metaphysical frameworks, while philosophy provides conceptual clarity, epistemic criteria and critical rigor necessary for the interpretation of physical phenomena.

True understanding of reality occurs at the intersection of these two domains, in a continuous dialogue between what we observe and what we understand.

Reference

1. Omnès R. Converging realities: Toward a common philosophy of physics and mathematics. Princeton University Press,2005.
2. Sochi T. The epistemology of contemporary physics: Introduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.00040,2024.
3. Syahrul A, Harun H, Aderus A. The interrelation of philosophy, science, and revealed truth: An epistemological perspective. Agency Journal of Management and Business,2025:5(1):96–101.
4. Brenner JE, Igamberdiev AU. Philosophy in reality: Scientific discovery and logical recovery. Philosophies,2019:4(2):22.
5. Hookway C. Truth, reality, and convergence. The Cambridge Companion to Peirce,2004:127–149.
6. Bunge M. Physics and reality. Dialectica,1965:19(3–4):195–222.
7. Vacariu G, Vacariu M. Physics and epistemologically different worlds. Revue Roumaine de Philosophie,2009:53(1–2).
8. DiSessa AA. Toward an epistemology of physics. Cognition and Instruction,1993:10(2–3):105–225.
9. Staver JR. Skepticism, truth as coherence, and constructivist epistemology: Grounds for resolving the discord between science and religion? Cultural Studies of Science Education,2010:5(1):19–39.
10. Bunge M. Epistemology & methodology III: Philosophy of science and technology part I: Formal and physical sciences. Springer Science & Business Media,2012.
11. Torrance TF. Transformation and convergence in the frame of knowledge: Explorations in the interrelations of scientific and theological enterprise. Wipf and Stock Publishers,1998.
12. Rachmad YE. Truth in science and philosophy: Conflicts and convergences. The United Nations and The Education Training Centre,2000.